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ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to evaluate enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant response of ‘Selva’ strawberry plants on exogenous nitric oxide under saline conditions with respect to time of application. Sodium nitroprusside (SNP), as nitric oxide (NO) source, was applied on the leaves by spray before, simultaneously, or after the initiation of saline stress. Results indicated that salinity and/or SNP at concentrations of 50 and 75 μM caused increase in activity of antioxidant enzymes, such as catalase, superoxide dismutase, glutathione reductase, ascorbate peroxidase and peroxidases as well as leaf content of proline, glycine betaine and total phenolics in comparison to control. Time of NO application was important because the highest levels of catalase and ascorbic peroxidase were in plants pre-treated with SNP one week before the initiation of salinity stress. Plants from these combinations had the highest fruit yield among all saline stressed plants. So, it seems that earlier application of SNP is more effective for an optimised protection against deleterious influence of salinity stress, because pre-treated plants had a sufficient time to develop an appropriate antioxidant response. The application of SNP simultaneously or after exposure of plants to stress conditions, was also helpful in increasing plant tolerance but to a lesser extent.
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INTRODUCTION

Soil salinity is a serious threat to global crop production. More than 20% of agricultural land is affected by salinity worldwide due to climate change; it is expected that this will increase in the near future (Wassmann et al. 2009). Salt stress leads to stomatal closure, which reduces CO₂ availability in the leaves and inhibits carbon fixation, exposing chloroplasts to excessive excitation energy, which in turn increases the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), hydroxyl radical and singlet oxygen (Ahmad & Sharma 2008). In many plant studies, it was observed that production of ROS is increased under saline conditions (Hasegawa et al. 2000). ROS are highly reactive and may cause cellular damage through oxidation of lipids, proteins and nucleic acids (Ahmad et al. 2010).

Nitric oxide (NO) has now gained significant place in plant science, mainly due to its multifunctional role as bioactive molecule in plant growth and development (Siddiqui et al. 2011). NO exerts a protective function against oxidative stress mediated by reaction with lipid radicals, which stops the lipid oxidation; scavenge the singlet oxygen and formation of peroxynitrites that can be neutralised by other cellular processes. It also helps in the activation of antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase, glutathione reductase and functions as a signalling molecule in the cascade of events leading to gene expression. These mechanisms together enhance protection against oxidative stress (Hasanuzzaman et al. 2010). The exogenous application of sodium nitroprusside (SNP) as nitric oxide (NO) source, has been reported to increase the activity of antioxidant enzymes, such as catalase, superoxide dismutase, peroxidases and improve the fruit yield in saline stressed plants (Fahad et al. 2008; Jamali et al. 2014). This study was therefore conducted to evaluate enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant response of ‘Selva’ strawberry plants on exogenous nitric oxide under saline conditions with respect to time of application. Sodium nitroprusside (SNP), as nitric oxide (NO) source, was applied on the leaves by spray before, simultaneously, or after the initiation of saline stress.
nitroprusside (SNP), a NO donor, significantly alleviated the oxidative damage of salinity in seedlings of rice (Uchida et al. 2002), lupin (Kopyra & Gwodz 2003) and cucumber (Fan et al. 2007), enhanced the seedlings growth (Song et al. 2009) and increased the dry weight of maize and Kosteletzkya virginica seedlings (Guo et al. 2009).

Production of strawberry fruits is an ever increasing industry. This plant is considered as one of the most sensitive species to saline conditions (Yilmaz & Kina 2008). Accumulation of salts and increased level of soil salinity may lead to damages to strawberry plants and reduction of yield and quality parameters (Kepenek & Koyuncu 2002; Keutgen & Keutgen 2003; Saied et al. 2005). Salinity stress tolerance of strawberry plants can be modified by NO. Beneficial influence of NO on improvement of growth in different plant species under saline conditions has been reported previously as it was mentioned earlier. However, in majority of these studies, the probable temporal aspect of application (prior, simultaneously or after stress initiation) of NO has not been studied. The goal of this study was to evaluate the effect of time of application of SNP, as NO donor under saline conditions, on enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant responses of strawberry ‘Selva’ plants to assess the time when the maximum of beneficial influence of exogenous NO could be achieved.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant growth conditions and treatments**

Uniformly rooted daughter plants of strawberry ‘Selva’ were potted in 3 L plastic pots filled with 1:1 (v/v) ratio of peat moss and perlite. After the initiation of growth in plants (after 7 weeks), when they had four or five fully expanded leaves, they were divided into 10 groups based on the treatment as mentioned below:

1. Control (C), sprayed with distilled water,
2. Plants exposed to 40 mM NaCl salinity stress and sprayed with distilled water (NaCl),
3. Plants sprayed with 50 μM SNP solution under non-stress conditions (SNP50),
4. Plants sprayed with 75 μM SNP solution under non-stress conditions (SNP75),
5. Plants sprayed with 50 μM SNP solution 7 days before initiation of 40 mM NaCl salinity stress (SNP50→NaCl),
6. Plants sprayed with 75 μM SNP solution 7 days before initiation of 40 mM NaCl salinity stress (SNP75→NaCl),
7. Plants sprayed with 50 μM SNP solution simultaneously with initiation of 40 mM NaCl salinity stress (SNP50-NaCl),
8. Plants sprayed with 75 μM SNP solution simultaneously with initiation of 40 mM NaCl salinity stress (SNP75-NaCl),
9. Plants exposed to 40 mM NaCl salinity and after 7 days sprayed with 50 μM SNP solution (NaCl→SNP50),
10. Plants exposed to 40 mM NaCl salinity and after 7 days sprayed with 75 μM SNP solution (NaCl→SNP75).

Plants were grown under natural light (>800 μmol·m⁻²·S⁻¹) in the greenhouse. Average day and night temperatures were 21 ± 2/17 ± 2 °C. Relative humidity was about 60 ± 5%. Until full growth, the plants were fertigated with 150 mL (this volume of nutrient solution was selected according to RH, average temperature, sunlight and pots size) of 0.5 × Hoagland’s nutrient solution and then with 150 mL of 1 × Hoagland’s nutrient solution once a day. Surpluses of solution were allowed to pass through the containers to ensure salt stress in the root medium at a given concentration, also to avoid anoxia by water logging. SNP spray solutions in distilled water at the concentrations 50 or 75 μM was used as NO donor. Fully expanded and mature leaves were used for measurements. Bulk samples were analysed (one leaf from each pot).

On the 6th day of week 1, the first round of leaf sampling was carried out, on the next day (the 7th day of experimental period), SNP treatments on the groups 3, 4, 5 and 6 were conducted. On the 6th day of week 2, the second round of sampling was carried out, on the following day (the 14th day of experimental period), SNP treatments on the groups 7 and 8 were conducted. From the 14th day onwards salt stress was initiated in the groups 2, and 5-10 by adding NaCl to Hoagland nutrient solution to the concentration 40 mM and continued till the end of experiment. In order to avoid precipitation, nutrient
solution was stirred after NaCl addition. In the 6th day of week 3, the third round of sampling was carried out, and on the following day (the 21st day of experimental period), SNP treatments on the groups 9 and 10 were conducted. On the 7th day of week 4, the fourth round of sampling was carried out. Control plants received only Hoagland’s fertilisation and water spray.

**Measurements**

For enzyme extraction, leaves (0.5 g) were ground to fine powder in liquid nitrogen with mortar and pestle and then homogenised in 2 mL extraction buffer containing 10% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) in 50 mM potassium-phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 50 mM sodium carbonate and 0.1 mL enzyme. Reaction was started by the addition of 2 μM riboflavin and placing the tubes under two 15 W fluorescent lamps for 15 min. A non-irradiated complete reaction mixture served as a blank. The absorbance was recorded to 50% in comparison with the maximal colour, served as one oxidised or GSSG dependent oxidation of NADPH, through the decrease in the absorbance at 340 nm. The assay mixture (1 mL final volume) was composed of 0.4 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5), 0.4 mM Na₂EDTA, 5.0 mM GSSG and 100 μL of crude extract. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 2.0 mM NADPH. Corrections were made for the background absorbance at 340 nm without NADPH. Activity was expressed as units (μmol of NADPH oxidised per minute) per milligram of protein (Foyer & Halliwell 1976).

Superoxide dismutase (SOD, EC 1.11.1.5) activity was assayed according to Dhindsa et al. (1980). One millilitre of the reaction mixture contained 13 mM methionine, 25 mM nitro-blue tetrazolium chloride (NBT), 0.1 mM EDTA, 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 50 mM sodium carbonate and 0.1 mL enzyme. Reaction was started by adding 2 mM riboflavin and placing the tubes under two 15 W fluorescent lamps for 15 min. A complete reaction mixture without enzyme, which gave the maximal colour, served as control. Reaction was stopped by switching off the lights and keeping the tubes in dark. A non-irradiated complete reaction mixture served as a blank. The absorbance was recorded at 560 nm, and one unit of enzyme activity was taken as that amount of enzyme that reduced the absorbance reading to 50% in comparison with tubes lacking enzyme. SOD activity was expressed as units per milligram of protein per minute.

Catalase (CAT, EC 1.11.1.6) activity was measured spectrophotometrically according to the method of Chance and Maehly (1955), by monitoring the decline in absorbance at 240 nm due to H₂O₂ consumption. One millilitre of reaction mixture contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 15 mM H₂O₂. The reaction was initiated by adding 50 μL of crude extract to this solution. CAT activity was expressed as units (μmol of H₂O₂ consumed per minute) per milligram of protein.

Peroxidase (POD, EC 1.11.1.7) activity was determined by Chance and Maehly (1955) method. One millilitre of reaction mixture contained 13 mM guaiacol, 5 mM H₂O₂ and 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7). Increase in absorbance due to oxidation of guaiacol (extinction coefficient: 26.6 mM·cm⁻¹) was monitored at 470 nm for a minute. Peroxidase activity was expressed as units (μmol guaiacol oxidised per minute) per milligram of protein.

Ascorbate peroxidase (APX, EC 1.11.1.11) activity was measured spectrophotometrically according to Nakano and Asada (1981) by following the decline in absorbance at 290 nm due to ascorbate oxidation. The oxidation rate of ascorbate was estimated between 1 and 60 s after starting the reaction with the addition of H₂O₂. One millilitre of reaction mixture contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7), 0.5 mM ascorbate, 0.15 mM H₂O₂, 0.1 mM EDTA and 50 μL of enzyme extract. APX activity was expressed as units (μmol of ascorbate oxidised per minute) per milligram of protein.

Protein concentration was determined according to Bradford (1976) by using bovine serum albumin as a standard.

Total phenolic content was determined with Folin-Ciocalteu reagent using gallic acid as a standard phenolic compound. In brief, 1 g of leaf samples were placed in an Eppendorf tube, with 1 mL of methanol (80%), grinded at 4 °C and centrifuged (15000 × g) for 15 min. The extract was mixed with 0.5 μL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (diluted 1:1 with water) and then 1 mL of a 5% sodium carbonate solution was also added. After 30 min, absorbance was measured at 725 nm and expressed as mg·g⁻¹ FW.
Proline was extracted and its concentration determined by the method of Bates et al. (1973). Leaf segments were homogenised with 3% sulfosalicylic acid and the homogenate was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was treated with acetic acid and acid ninhydrin, boiled for 1 h and then absorbance at 520 nm was determined. Contents of proline are expressed as μmol g⁻¹ FW.

Glycine betaine was estimated according to the method of Grieve and Grattan (1983). The freeze-dried plant material was finely ground, mechanically shaken with 20 mL deionised water for 48 h at 25 °C. The samples were then filtered and the filtrates were diluted 1:1 with 2 M H₂SO₄. Aliquots were kept in centrifuge tubes and cooled in ice water for 1 h. Cold KI-I₂ reagent was added and the reactants were gently stirred with a vortex mixer. The tubes were stored at 4 °C for 16 h and then centrifuged at 15000 × g for 20 min at 0 °C. The supernatant was carefully aspirated. The periodide crystals were dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane and then the absorbance was measured at 365 nm using glycine betaine as standard. Glycine betaine content was expressed as μmol g⁻¹ FW.

Total yield was determined by adding weight of all produced fruits during 2 months (the experimental period plus four following weeks) and expressed as gram.

**Experiment design and statistical analysis**

The experiment was carried out as bi-factorial in a completely randomised design (10 treatments × 4 times measure). Each treatment category was considered as a level of the first factor, that is 10 levels, and the second factor, that is time of measurement (all parameters were measured weekly for 4 weeks), with four replications with three pots in each replication. Data were analysed by SPSS 16 (ANOVA test) and means were compared using Duncan’s multiple range test at 5% probability level.

**RESULTS**

Salt stress caused a significant rise in activity of all antioxidant enzymes. Activity of SOD, CAT, APX, GR and POD increased up to 1.74, 1.37, 2.33, 2.45 and 1.62 folds, respectively, in comparison with non-SNP-treated plants. Application of SNP (50 or 75 μM) under non-saline conditions has also elevating impact on the activity of antioxidant enzymes but lesser than salt. In salt-stressed plants treated with SNP at each application time, activity of antioxidant enzymes was higher compared with control plants and with plants under salinity stress. The highest activity level of CAT and APX was observed in plants treated with SNP50→NaCl and for SOD and POD in plants treated with SNP75→NaCl. Activity of GR was significantly higher in plants treated with SNP at both concentrations 1 week before initiation of salt stress in comparison to SNP-treated plants simultaneously or one week after initiation of salt stress (Table 1).

Highest activity level of SOD, APX, GR and POD were obtained in week 4 of experimental period, when salinity and/or exogenous SNP influenced the metabolism (Table 2).

SOD activity increased from 69.20 to 155.32 units mg⁻¹ protein min⁻¹ (between week 2 and 3 of experimental period) after initiation of salt stress or after sole SNP application (between week 1 and 2). Increase in SOD activity in non-stressed plants, sprayed with SNP was much lower. Maximum of SOD activity, exceeding 200 units mg⁻¹ protein min⁻¹ was observed in week 4 in plants treated with SNP50→NaCl. This enzyme was also very active in weeks 3 and 4 in the remaining treatments combining NaCl and SNP (Fig. 1a).

Activity of CAT increased when plants were exposed to saline conditions or when they were treated with SNP under non-saline conditions. Highest activity level of this enzyme was obtained in plants treated with SNP50→NaCl in weeks 3 and 4 of experimental period, although it was not statistically different when compared with plants treated with SNP (50 or 75 μM), one week after or simultaneously with initiation of stress. Activity of APX (Fig. 1c), GR (Fig. 1d) and POD (Fig. 1e) increased after initiation of salt stress in all treatment categories, especially when salinity was combined with SNP treatment. When SNP was applied alone, activity of APX increased immediately after spraying and decreased within the next 2 weeks (Fig. 1c).

In Table 3, is presented the influence of SNP applied as a single or in combination with 40 mM NaCl and at different times on the contents of proline, glycine betaine total polyphenols and proteins in the leaves of strawberry ‘Selva’.

...
Table 1. Effect of 50 or 75 μM SNP on activity of some enzymatic antioxidants in ‘Selva’ strawberry plants grown under 40 mM saline or non-saline conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>SOD (units mg⁻¹ protein·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>CAT (units·mg⁻¹ protein)</th>
<th>APX (units mg⁻¹ protein)</th>
<th>GR (units mg⁻¹ protein)</th>
<th>POD (units·mg⁻¹ protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>66.14 h*</td>
<td>16.65 f</td>
<td>9.02 g</td>
<td>5.69 d</td>
<td>20.79 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>115.31 e</td>
<td>22.88 de</td>
<td>21.04 ef</td>
<td>13.95 c</td>
<td>33.73 ef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP50</td>
<td>109.26 f</td>
<td>25.47 bcd</td>
<td>23.95 cd</td>
<td>13.75 c</td>
<td>31.24 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP75</td>
<td>98.85 g</td>
<td>20.77 e</td>
<td>20.03 f</td>
<td>13.91 c</td>
<td>37.36 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP50→NaCl</td>
<td>133.18 b</td>
<td>32.15 a</td>
<td>32.99 a</td>
<td>21.74 a</td>
<td>42.87 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP75→NaCl</td>
<td>138.01 a</td>
<td>28.27 b</td>
<td>28.44 b</td>
<td>22.01 a</td>
<td>48.00 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP50−NaCl</td>
<td>125.98 c</td>
<td>26.84 bc</td>
<td>25.21 c</td>
<td>17.95 b</td>
<td>39.44 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP75−NaCl</td>
<td>125.09 c</td>
<td>25.48 bcd</td>
<td>23.54 cd</td>
<td>18.53 b</td>
<td>43.72 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl→SNP50</td>
<td>122.42 cd</td>
<td>24.68 cd</td>
<td>22.34 de</td>
<td>16.58 bc</td>
<td>35.14 de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl→SNP75</td>
<td>120.92 d</td>
<td>23.60 de</td>
<td>21.46 ef</td>
<td>16.09 bc</td>
<td>36.82 d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same letters within columns are not different at 5% probability using Duncan’s test

Table 2. The activity of some enzymatic antioxidants in ‘Selva’ strawberry plants under 40 mM saline and in non-saline conditions, depending on time of measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>SOD (units mg⁻¹ protein·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>CAT (units·mg⁻¹ protein)</th>
<th>APX (units mg⁻¹ protein)</th>
<th>GR (units mg⁻¹ protein)</th>
<th>POD (units·mg⁻¹ protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>67.71 d*</td>
<td>17.11 c</td>
<td>8.98 d</td>
<td>6.04 d</td>
<td>22.71 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>88.21 c</td>
<td>21.47 b</td>
<td>17.78 c</td>
<td>12.41 c</td>
<td>30.03 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>143.30 b</td>
<td>29.96 a</td>
<td>30.92 b</td>
<td>20.59 b</td>
<td>46.32 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>162.84 a</td>
<td>30.17 a</td>
<td>33.51 a</td>
<td>25.42 a</td>
<td>49.03 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same letters within columns are not different at 5% probability using Duncan’s test

Table 3. Effect of 50 or 75 μM SNP on contents of proline, glycine betaine, polyphenols and proteins in strawberry ‘Selva’ plants grown under 40 mM saline or non-saline conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Proline (μmol·g⁻¹ FW)</th>
<th>Glycine betaine (μmol·g⁻¹ FW)</th>
<th>Total polyphenols (mg·g⁻¹ FW)</th>
<th>Total protein (mg·g⁻¹ FW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>14.29 f*</td>
<td>0.32 e</td>
<td>13.03 d</td>
<td>20.15 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>25.39 c</td>
<td>0.47 cd</td>
<td>16.76 c</td>
<td>18.06 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP50</td>
<td>22.97 d</td>
<td>0.45 de</td>
<td>18.94 bc</td>
<td>20.00 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP75</td>
<td>20.10 e</td>
<td>0.44 de</td>
<td>19.63 ab</td>
<td>20.00 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP50→NaCl</td>
<td>34.57 a</td>
<td>0.65 a</td>
<td>21.36 a</td>
<td>21.32 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP75→NaCl</td>
<td>30.69 b</td>
<td>0.63 ab</td>
<td>20.41 ab</td>
<td>20.30 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP50−NaCl</td>
<td>30.52 b</td>
<td>0.57 abc</td>
<td>18.32 bc</td>
<td>20.03 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP75−NaCl</td>
<td>29.46 b</td>
<td>0.55 abcd</td>
<td>18.55 bc</td>
<td>20.09 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl→SNP50</td>
<td>27.17 c</td>
<td>0.54 abcd</td>
<td>17.21 c</td>
<td>19.54 bcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl→SNP75</td>
<td>26.63 c</td>
<td>0.52 bcd</td>
<td>16.79 c</td>
<td>19.19 cd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same letters within columns are not different at 5% probability using Duncan’s test
Fig. 1. Changes of enzymatic antioxidants activity: SOD (a), CAT (b), APX (c), GR (d) and POD (e) during experimental period. Columns with the same letters represent means not differing at 5% probability using Duncan’s multiple range test. Vertical bars indicate standard error (\( n = 4 \)).
Fig. 2. Changes of leaf proline (a), glycine betaine (b), polyphenols (c) and proteins (d) during experimental period. Columns with the same letters represent means not differing at 5% probability using Duncan’s multiple range test. Vertical bars indicate standard error ($n = 4$)
Table 4. The contents of proline, glycine betaine polyphenols and proteins in ‘Selva’ strawberry plants grown under 40 mM saline and in non-saline conditions, depending on time of measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Proline (μmol·g⁻¹ FW)</th>
<th>Glycine betaine (μmol·g⁻¹ FW)</th>
<th>Total polyphenols (mg·g⁻¹ FW)</th>
<th>Total protein (mg·g⁻¹ FW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>15.31 c*</td>
<td>0.38 b</td>
<td>14.46 c</td>
<td>20.07 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>18.44 b</td>
<td>0.41 b</td>
<td>16.53 b</td>
<td>20.32 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>35.27 a</td>
<td>0.64 a</td>
<td>20.90 a</td>
<td>19.55 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>35.69 a</td>
<td>0.63 a</td>
<td>20.49 a</td>
<td>19.53 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same letters within columns are not different at 5% probability using Duncan’s test.

Fig. 3. Total yield of fruits. Columns with the same letters represent means not differing at 5% probability using Duncan’s multiple range test. Vertical bars indicate standard error (n = 4)

Proline content in the leaves increased by about 77% in salt-stressed plants, but also in all other treatments in comparison with control. The highest proline content (34.75 μM·g⁻¹ FW) was found in plants treated with SNP50→NaCl, and also very high when application of higher concentration of SNP preceded or was given simultaneously with NaCl. When combinations of SNP/NaCl were applied, proline content in the leaves was higher in the weeks 3 and 4 of the experimental period in comparison to those in the weeks 1 or 2 (Table 4, Fig. 2a).

Leaf glycine betaine increased significantly in salt-stressed plants. In plants treated with SNP, the content of this compound was at the level of control. The content of glycine betaine was the highest in the weeks 3 and 4 in salt-stressed and SNP sprayed plants at each application time (Table 3, Fig. 2b).

Total polyphenols concentration in leaves increased by about 29% in salt-stressed, non-SNP-treated plants, and also in SNP-sprayed plants (Table 3). This parameter was significantly higher in the weeks 3 and 4 in comparison to the weeks 1 or 2 of the experimental period (Table 4) in all treatment categories. The highest level of total polyphenols was found in the treatments, when NaCl and SNP were applied together (Fig. 2c).

Leaf proteins decreased by 30% in salt-stressed, non-SNP-treated plants, and also in plants treated with SNP after saline stress began. An increase in protein content was recorded only in the week 3, in the treatment where spraying with SNP at 50 μM preceded NaCl stress (Table 4, Fig. 2d).

Table 4 indicates how contents of proline, glycine betaine, polyphenols and proteins have
changed within experimental period. An interactions between treatment category and time of measurements are presented in Fig. 2 a, b, c, d.

Total yield of plants (Fig. 3) decreased almost twice in the result of salt stress as compared with control. Application of a sole SNP did not influence the fruit yield in comparison with control but in combinations with salt stress SNP ameliorated the harmful effect of NaCl, the more if it was earlier applied (Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

Our results confirmed earlier findings of various authors working on different plant species that an activity of SOD, APX, GR, CAT and POD increases under salinity stress (Ahmad et al. 2010; Koyro et al. 2012). Rise in activity of enzymatic antioxidants is a protective reaction of plants in order to prevent damage to cellular components due to overproduction of ROS under saline conditions, and can improve salt tolerance by scavenging of ROS (Alscher et al. 2002). Also, our findings that exogenous NO causes increase in the activity of the antioxidant enzymes in strawberry ‘Selva’ plants, are in agreement with other reports. Exogenous application of NO increased activity of CAT, SOD, POD and APX in seashore mallow (Guo et al. 2009), mustard (Zeng et al. 2011), wheat (Ruan et al. 2002), chickpea (Sheokand et al. 2010), and protected plants from oxidative damage under salt stress. Root pre-treatment with NO increased the activity of SOD, CAT, APX and GR, promoted maintenance of cellular redox homeostasis and mitigated oxidative damage under saline conditions in bitter orange (Citrus aurantium L.) (Tanou et al. 2009). Similarly, exogenous NO increased the activity of antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, and APX) in rice, thus increasing its resistance for salinity (Uchida et al. 2002). In tomato, exogenous application of NO increased the activity of antioxidant enzymes SOD, POD, CAT, APX, non-enzymatic antioxidant ascorbate and reduced glutathione under salinity stress thus helping to alleviate salt-induced oxidative damage (Wu et al. 2011).

Leaf polyphenol content was augmented due to the influence of salinity, but the increase was more pronounced in plants treated with SNP one week before the initiation of salinity stress. There are many reports indicating the impact of saline conditions on the increase in content of secondary plant products (Navarro et al. 2006; Neves et al. 2010; Zrig et al. 2011; Petridis et al. 2012). Total phenolics content in strawberry fruits cv. ‘Korona’, not very sensitive to salinity of soil, increased by 10% in plants stressed with 40 mM NaCl (Keutgen & Pawelzik 2008). At a relatively low salinity, total phenolic content decreased in all analysed mulberry genotypes and increased at higher salinity (Agastian et al. 2000). The study of Rezazadeh et al. (2012) on the effect of salinity on the phenolic content in artichoke gave similar results.

Glycine betaine and proline in our experiment increased significantly in plants exposed to saline conditions; this increase was higher in plants treated with SNP one week before initiation of salt stress. Several osmolytes, including glycine betaine, sugar alcohols, soluble sugars, proline, trehalose, polyols, etc. have been reported to accumulate in various plant species under salinity and drought (Yancey et al. 1982; Bohnert et al. 1995; Hasegawa et al. 2000; Farooq et al. 2009). In addition to their role in the maintenance of water balance in plant tissues, these osmolytes also act as osmoprotectants; for instance, proline scavenges free radicals (Chen & Murata 2011). NO stimulates cytosolic synthesis of proline and glycine betaine. For example, exogenous application of SNP significantly increased cytosolic proline accumulation in seashore mallow (Kosteletzky virginica L.), conferring salinity resistance (Guo et al. 2009). Moreover, exogenous NO increased proline accumulation in wheat, where it scavenges ROS and stabilises the structure of the macromolecules (Ruan et al. 2002). Likewise in tomato, same treatment has shown to improve the accumulation of proline as well as soluble sugars under salt stress (Wu et al. 2011).

Total protein content decreased significantly in plants exposed to salinity; this was in accordance with results of previous experiments by Stewart and Bewley (1980), Davies (1987), Feller et al. (2008) and Zhang et al. (2011).
Importance of application time of SNP (NO) in alleviating salt stress

Strawberry cultivars differ in their salt tolerance (Karlidag et al. 2009) and one of the reason responsible for these differences might be their antioxidant status (Hasanuzzaman et al. 2012). Plants with higher activity of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants can fight ROS and/or oxidative damage more effectively. A time of exogenous SNP application on strawberry is important because a range of increase in activity of enzymatic antioxidants and content of proline, glycine betaine and polyphenols depends on, whether SNP is applied before, simultaneously or after saline stress initiation. Besides of the antioxidative effect of NO (Beligni et al. 2002), this compound can lead to reduction in Na/K ratio in shoots and roots (our study, data not shown) what additionally increases plants tolerance for saline conditions. According to Farooq et al. (2009) NO regulates strategies responsible for salinity resistance. When this signalling molecule reaches a plant before initiation of stress, it triggers reactions which lead to increase in leaves antioxidants activity and higher potential for K absorption under salinity stress, as a result the plant become more salinity tolerant before NaCl comes to play. So, when plants are pre-treated with NO, they become pre-conditioned to better tolerance to the salt stress. This could be the reason of the higher yield, shoot and root fresh and dry weigh (data not shown) in plants pre-treated with SNP in comparison to plants treated with SNP after the salt stress initiation. Exogenous application of NO after initiation of stress can also be helpful, but as some salt-induced damages might convert to irreversible form, plant must expend more energy and resources for damages compensation or recovery. Pre-treatment or at least, NO application at early phases of stress seems a better strategy for protection because plants may avoid the stress effects or tolerate it better.
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