

Reviewer's Sheet

Evaluation of the scientific content

1. Is the subject of the article relevant to the journal's scope (high, moderate, low, none)
2. Does the research present new findings in the area (high, moderate, low, none)
3. Are the data presented scientifically sound (high, moderate, low, none)
4. Does the research have practical importance (high, moderate, low, none)
5. Is the research of the international/global importance (high, moderate, low, none)

Evaluation of the presentation

6. Is the paper clearly written and well-organized (yes, no, comments)
7. Is the Abstract adequate and presents all important information (yes, no, comments)
8. Are Keywords and Abbreviations adequate (yes, no, comments)
9. Does the Introduction present the state of art in the area and the objective of the research (yes, no, comments)
10. Does the Materials and Methods describe precisely experimental material, procedures and statistical analyses (yes, no, comments)
11. Are all the Tables and Figures necessary and clearly presented (yes, no, comments)
12. Is the Discussion adequate (not just replication of result presentation) (yes, no, comments)
13. Are the conclusions justified by the data presented
14. Have all the relevant literature been cited (yes, no, comments)

Overall assessment

15. I recommend this paper to:
 - a. Accept as is, with minor editorial corrections if needed
 - b. Accept after minor revision (comments)
 - c. Accept after major revision and re-evaluation (comments)
 - d. Reject