THE INFLUENCE OF TWO TRAINING SYSTEMS ON GROWTH AND CROPPING OF THREE PEAR CULTIVARS

Ireneusz Sosna and Marta Czaplicka

Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences Pl. Grunwaldzki 24a, 50-363 Wrocław, POLAND

(Received August 5, 2008/Accepted September 30, 2008)

ABSTRACT

The influence of two training systems on growth, cropping and fruit quality of three pear cultivars was estimated in the experiment conducted during 2001-2005 at the Fruit Experimental Station near Wrocław, South-Western Poland. The experiment was carried out on 'Dicolor' 'Erika' and 'Radana' trees on Quince S_1 rootstock planted in a randomized split-plot design in 4 replications with 7-8 trees per a plot. The trees were trained in two systems: Drilling form and V-Güttingen and were spaced in rows at 1.7 m and 1.2 m, respectively, whereas a distance between the rows was 3.5 m. Results showed that pear trees trained as a V-Güttingen gave higher yield per hectare. Tree form had no influence on fruit quality. Trees in V-Güttingen system had thinner trunks than in Drilling. Significantly higher cumulative yield was obtained for 'Erika'. The smallest fruits had 'Dicolor'.

Key words: pear, Quince S₁, Drilling, V-Güttingen, yield, growth, quality

INTRODUCTION

Pear production in Poland reaches 5% of apple production and consumption per capita is only 1.5 kg yearly (Błaszczyk, 2005). However, increasing consumer's interest in this fruit is the motivation to enhance the production and use new cultivars by orchardists (Kruczyńska, 2006), especially for fruits with attractive taste or interesting look, e.g. with red blush like 'Dicolor' (Kurlus and Łysiak, 1999).

Planting and training systems were examined in economic and ecological terms for high quality production. Many such experiments were carried out with apple trees. Drilling (triplet) trees have three main branches; therefore vegetative growth is partitioned into three elements of equal strength (Widmer and Krebs, 1997), whereas V-system trees have one branch. Drilling is a new training system and was developed in Güttingen experimental farm belonging to the Swiss Federal Research Station (FAW). There are three branch elements instead of four as with the Mikado system and therefore it is suitable for cultivars with less vigorous growth (Widmer and Krebs, 1997). The pattern of light intensity in open canopies, such as V- Güttingen. Mikado and Solen. promotes high fruit quality (Buler and Mika, 2004). According to Widmer and Krebs (1997), an important advantage of these novel systems is lower investment cost of establishing orchards compared with the currently used spindle single-row system, but Meland and Hovland (1997) and Vercammen (2002) claim that planting and cultivating practice during the first three years of growth in Vsystem are associated with higher cost, as compared with traditional training system.

The open-tree form like Drilling allows optimal light interception and generates good fruit quality (Widmer and Krebs, 2001). Mikado and triple systems develop the light interception advantages of the V-systems further, while reducing planting costs (Widmer, 2005).

The aim of our experiment was to compare cropping, fruit quality and vegetative growth of three pear cultivars trained as a V-Güttingen and Drilling during first five years after planting.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Fruit Experimental Station in Samotwór near Wrocław during 2001-2005. The research was carried out on 'Dicolor' 'Erika' and 'Radana' trees on Quince S₁ rootstock planted in a randomized split-plot design in 4 replications with 7 trees per a plot. The trees were trained in two systems and were spaced in rows at 1.7 m (Drilling form – 3 leaders, 1681 trees ha⁻¹) and 1.2 m (V-Güttingen – 1 leader, 2381 trees ha⁻¹), whereas a distance between the rows was 3.5 m. It this way, the number of leaders per hectare in Drilling form (5043) was more than twice higher in comparison with V-Güttingen (2381). Two-year-old trees were planted as non-feathered (laterals had to be removed because they grew too low) and therefore they were cut down at a height of 60 cm above the budding place. For that reason their yielding was delayed by one growing season. Trees were not irrigated and fruitlets were not thinned. Trees were pruned only during summer period. Plant protection was carried out according to the current recommendations of the Orchard Protection Programme.

In 2002-2005, records of yield and fruit quality were taken. The results were statistically elaborated by an analysis of variance. The significance of differences between means was evaluated by Duncan's multiple range t-test at p = 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Trees in the experiment had the same growth vigour. There were no significant differences between training systems in number and total length of annual shoots (Tab. 1). Sosna (2004) observed more intensive vegetative growth of 'Elstar' and 'Jonagold' apple trees training in V-Güttingen than in Drilling on apples. However, similar influence of Mikado and spindle system on growth in first years was obtained in experiments performed by Buler and Mika (2004) on apple trees.

Cultivar had no influence on total length of annual shoots per a tree. Number of shoots was significantly higher in 'Erika' (77) than in 'Carola' and 'Dicolor' (52 and 41, respectivelv). Interaction of these factors (cultivar and training system) shows important differences in number of shoots. 'Dicolor' with both training systems and 'Carola' in drilling system were assigned to the group with low number of shoots. Interaction had important influence of total length of shoots, too. The highest total length of shoots had 'Erika' in both systems and 'Dicolor' in Drilling. 'Carola' and 'Dicolor' in V-system had significantly shorter total length of shoots.

There were no differences between trees in double-factors combination for TCSA and crop efficiency index (Tab. 2). Similar results were obtained by Sosna (2006) on 'Conference' and 'Komisówka'. In this trial there was no influence of canopy training system on vegetative growth of pear trees. There was also no significant difference in CEC index between cultivars.

Important differences were observed in TCSA, where 'Carola' had the smallest one (18.8 cm^2) as compared with 'Dicolor' (23.8 cm²) and 'Erika' (24.8 cm²). TCSA values are substantially higher than these obtained by Błaszczyk (2005) in experiment on trees in the same age bracket. Influence of training system was distinct on TCSA - trees trained in V-Güttingen system had thinner trunks (21.0 cm^2) than these trained in Drilling system (23.9 cm²). Differences in TCSA and cumulative yield are reflected in various CEC index. For trees trained in V-system it averaged 0.98 whereas for these trained in Drilling system it was only 0.76.

Cumulative yield for first five years averaged 18 kg per tree in Drilling system and 20.5 kg in Vsystem (Tab. 3) and this difference is not significant. Opposite results observed Widmer et al. (2005) on apple 'Golden Delicious', where yields per tree were highest in the triple system. There are no significant differences in vield per a tree, but per hectare trees in V-Güttingen system produced 48.8 tons while these trained in Drilling only 30.4 tons. Level of yielding of V-system was lower also in experiment conducted by Iglesias et al. (2004) with 'Conference' pear trees. Similar effect obtained Mass and Steeg (2001) in Netherlands, where V-system with single branched trees was characterised by the highest production in the first three years after planting.

I. Sosna and M. Czaplicka

Treatment		Total number of shoots	Total length of shoots		
		per tree	per tree [cm]		
		2001-2003	2001-2003		
Carola	Drilling	42.8 a*	1042 a		
	V-Güttingen	61.0 b	1368 ab		
Dicolor	Drilling	43.6 a	1740 c		
	V-Güttingen	37.4 a	1307 ab		
Erika	Drilling	76.6 c	1757 с		
	V-Güttingen	77.4 c	1610 bc		
Means for cultivar					
Carola		51.9 a	1205 a		
Dicolor		40.5 a	1524 a		
Erika		77.0 b	1684 a		
Means for training system					
Drilling		54.3 a	1513 a		
V-Güttingen		58.6 a	1428 a		

Table 1. Annual shoot's number and length of 'Carola', 'Dicolor' and 'Erika' pear depending on tree form

*Means followed by the same letter do not differ at p = 0.05 according to Duncan's multiple range t-test

Table	2.	Trunk cros	s-sectional	area (TC	CSA) and	crop	efficiency	index	(CEC)	of
'Carola'	, 'E	rika' and 'D	Dicolor' pea	r dependi	ing on tree	e forn	1			

Treatment		TCSA [cm ²] autumn 2005	CEC [kg cm ⁻²] 2001-2005		
Carola	Drilling	19.4 a*	0.64 a		
	V-Güttingen	18.2 a	1.01 a		
Dicolor	Drilling	24.8 a	0.81 a		
	V-Güttingen	22.7 a	0.74 a		
Entites	Drilling	27.3 a	0.82 a		
Erika	V-Güttingen	22.4 a	1.19 a		
Means for cultivar					
Carola		18.8 a	0.83 a		
Dicolor		23.8 b	0.78 a		
Erika		24.8 b	1.01 a		
Means for training system					
Drilling		23.9 b	0.76 a		
V-Güttingen		21.0 a	0.98 b		

*Explanations, see Table 1

...two training systems on growth and cropping of ... pear cultivars

Treatment		Cumulative 20	yield 2002- 05	Mean fruit weight 2004-2005		
		[kg tree ⁻¹]	[t ha ⁻¹]	[g]		
Carola	Drilling	12.6 a*	21.2 a	261 a		
	V-Güttingen	18.3 a	43.6 a	221 a		
Dicolor	Drilling	20.0 a	33.6 a	198 a		
	V-Güttingen	16.5 a	39.3 a	189 a		
Erika	Drilling	21.6 a	36.3 a	254 a		
	V-Güttingen	26.7 a	63.6 a	254 a		
Means for cultivar						
Carola		15.5 a	32.4 a	241 b		
Dicolor		18.2 a	36.5 a	193 a		
Erika		24.2 b	50.0 b	254 b		
Means for training system						
Drilling		18.1 a	30.4 a	238 a		
V-Güttingen		20.5 a	48.8 b	221 a		

Table 3. Yielding and mean fruit weight of 'Carola', 'Dicolor' and 'Erika' pear depending on tree form

*Explanations, see Table 1

Yielding of the cultivars examined was differentiated: 'Erika' gave 24.2 kg fruit per a tree (50.0 t·ha⁻¹) and it was higher than fruit yield from 'Carola' (15.5 kg·tree⁻¹, 32.4 t·ha⁻¹) and 'Dicolor' (18.2 kg·tree⁻¹, 36.5 t·ha⁻¹). 'Erika' was recognized also as a highly productive cultivar by another researchers (Blazek et al., 2003; Błaszczyk, 2005).

There was no significant influence of a training system on fruit quality. Mean fruit weight was comparable in both the training systems and in all the combinations. Similar results were obtained by Iglesias et al. (2004) in a trial with 'Conference'. Good fruit quality from open tree form was obtained by Widmer and Krebs (2001) on 'Royal Gala' and 'Golden Delicious' apple trees and by Sosna (2004) on 'Elstar' and 'Jonagold'.

'Erika' and 'Carola' fruit had similar weight (254 and 241 g), which

were significantly heavier than fruit of 'Dicolor' (193 g). It is in agreement with general opinion that 'Erika' and 'Carola' are characterised as largesize fruit cultivars, whereas 'Dicolor' is assigned to the group of cultivars with medium-size fruit (Kurlus and Łysiak, 1999; Paprstein and Bouma, 2000; Błaszczyk, 2005).

REFERENCES

- Błaszczyk J. 2005. Porównanie wzrostu i początkowego plonowania czterech odmian gruszy. XV Międzynar. Seminar. Sadown. – Limanowa, pp. 71-72.
- Blazek J., Vondracek J., Vavra R. 2003. Yields and tree vigour of pear cultivars in the RBIP at Holovousy. VEDECKE PRACE OVOCNAR-SKE 18: 39-51.
- Buler Z., Mika A. 2004. Evaluation of the 'Mikado' tree training system versus the spindle form in apple

trees. J. FRUIT ORNAM. PLANT RES. 12: 49-60.

- Iglesias I., Montserrat R., Vilardell P., Bonany J. 2004. Performance of 'Conference' pear in five intensive planting systems in the NE of Spain. ACTA HORT. 636: 675-679.
- Kruczyńska D. 2006. Nowe odmiany jabłoni i gruszy do sadów towarowych. Ogólnopol. Konf. "Nowe odmiany drzew owocowych" Skierniewice, pp. 29-32.
- Kurlus R., Łysiak G. 1999. Nowe, obiecujące odmiany grusz i jabłoni z Techlobuzic. VIII Spotkanie Sadownicze, Sandomierz, pp. 137-143.
- Maas F., Steeg P. 2001. V-hedge with four branches provides the best tree shape at Randwijk. FRUITTEELT (Den Haag) 91(39): 12-14.
- Meland M., Hovland O. 1997. High density planting systems in 'Summered' apples in a northern climate. ACTA HORTIC. 451: 467-469.
- Paprstein F., Bouma J. 2000. New pears from the Czech Republic. ACTA HORTIC. 538: 741-744.
- Sosna I. 2004. Evaluation of some training systems in apple orchards.J. FRUIT ORNAM. PLANT RES. 12 (special ed.): 85-90.

- Sosna I. 2006. The influence of the training system on the growth and yielding of three pear cultivars budded on two clones of quince. ZESZ. NAUK. ISK 14: 5-13.
- Vercammen J. 2002. Influence of the plant and pruning system on the financial result of 'Conference'. ACTA HORTIC. 596: 615-620.
- Widmer A. 2005. The development of Guttingen-V, Mikado and Drilling growing systems: an overview. OBST- UND WEINBAU 141(7): 14-16.
- Widmer A., Krebs C. 1997. 'Mikado' and 'Drilling' (triplet) – two novel training systems for sustainable high quality apple and pear production. ACTA HORTIC. 451: 519-528.
- Widmer A., Krebs C. 2001. Influence of planting density and tree form on field and fruit quality of 'Golden Delicious' and 'Royal Gala' apples. ACTA HORTIC. 557: 235-241.
- Widmer A., Zurcher M., Krebs C. 2005. Growing systems: effect on yield, quality and profitability. OBST-UND WEINBAU 141(7): 10-13.

PŁYW DWÓCH FORM KORON ROZPINANYCH NA WZROST I OWOCOWANIE KILKU ODMIAN GRUSZY

Ireneusz Sosna i Marta Czaplicka

STRESZCZENIE

Doświadczenie prowadzono w latach 2001-2005 w Stacji Doświadczalnej Uniwersytetu Przyrodniczego w Samotworze koło Wrocławia. Posadzono dwuletnie drzewka gruszy odmian 'Carola', 'Dicolor' i 'Erika' na podkładce pigwa S₁. Grusze prowadzono w koronie wrzecionowej jednoprzewodnikowej, posadzonej w systemie V w rozstawie 3,5 x 1,2 m (2381 drzew ha⁻¹) oraz w formie korony trzyprzewodnikowej – Drilling, w rozstawie 3,5 x 1,7 m (1681 drzew ha⁻¹). Doświadczenie założono metodą podbloków, w czterech powtórzeniach, po 7 drzew na każdym poletku doświadczalnym. Za pierwszy czynnik uznano formę prowadzenia korony, za drugi odmianę. Dwuletnie drzewka miały nieliczne, zbyt nisko położone odgałęzienia boczne, więc po posadzeniu były one usunięte. Dla porównania średnich zastosowano test t-Duncana, przyjmując poziom istotności 5%. Celem badań była ocena wpływu formy korony na wzrost i owocowanie drzew kilku odmian gruszy.

Forma korony nie miała istotnego wpływu na wysokość plonu z drzewa oraz średnią masę owoców w pierwszych latach po posadzeniu. Jednak w przeliczeniu na jednostkę powierzchni, istotnie wyższe plony zebrano z drzew prowadzonych w systemie V-Güttingen. Drzewa prowadzone w koronie Drilling charakteryzowały się większym polem przekroju poprzecznego pnia. Z uwagi na słabszy wzrost wegetatywny, drzewa prowadzone na jeden przewodnik uzyskały wyższy współczynnik plenności.

Do piątego roku po posadzeniu najobficiej plonowały drzewa odmiany 'Erika' (24,2 kg), dając istotnie wyższy plon z drzewa w porównaniu z odmianami 'Carola' i 'Dicolor' (odpowiednio 15,5 oraz 18,2 kg). Duże owoce miały odmiany 'Erika' i 'Carola' (odpowiednio 254 i 241 g). Ich masa różniła się istotnie od owoców 'Dicolor' (193 g). Odmiany różniły się siłą wzrostu drzew mierzoną polem przekroju poprzecznego pnia. Słabszym wzrostem charakteryzowała się odmiana 'Carola'. Z uwagi na wyższy współczynnik plenności, przy porównywalnym plonowaniu z drzewa i jakości owoców w pierwszych latach po posadzeniu, za bardziej korzystne uznać należy prowadzenie drzew gruszy w systemie V-Güttingen.

Słowa kluczowe: grusza, pigwa S1, Drilling, V-Güttingen, plon, wzrost, jakość