

## EFFECTS OF ORGANIC AND INORGANIC NITROGEN ON GROWTH, YIELD AND QUALITY OF SAPOTA 'KALIPATTI'

Varu Devashi

Department of Horticulture, Junagadh Agril  
University Junagadh-362 001, Gujarat INDIA  
e-mail: dkvaru@yahoo.com

(Received February 17, 2012/Accepted May 15, 2012)

### A B S T R A C T

An experiment was conducted to study the effect of organic and inorganic nitrogen in the form of urea and castor cake on the growth, yielding and fruit quality of sapota trees. Nitrogen in the form of urea significantly influenced the vegetative growth of the trees. The greatest tree height (7.26 m), tree spread (8.11 m in N-S and 8.13 m in E-W directions), fruit weight (63.65 g), the number of fruit per tree (2627.56) and fruit yield per tree (163.30 kg) were obtained with the application of 900 g N/tree in the form of urea. However, that was found on a par with the application of 900 g N/tree in which 50% N was from urea and 50% from castor cake. Total sugars and reducing sugars content in fruit was found the highest in the 900 g N/tree treatment in which 25% N was from urea and 75% N from castor cake.

**Key words:** fertilization, urea, nitrogen, castor cake

### INTRODUCTION

Sapota or sapodilla (*Manilkara achras*), popularly known as chiku, is one of the important tropical fruit crops of India. This species is native to tropical America, especially southern Mexico and Central America. The fruit is a fleshy berry, variable in shape, size and weight (75-150 g). The skin is thin, rusty brown, somewhat

scurfy, looking like the Irish potato, and the pulp soft, melting and crumbling with a sandy or granular texture, with 1-5 hard, black seeds. The fruit is a good source of digestible sugar (12-18%) and an appreciable source of protein, fat, fibre and minerals (Ca, P and Fe). The area under this crop plant is increasing due to its high productivity, continuous fruiting throughout the year and very little

incidence of pests and diseases. It is cultivated on 160 000 hectares with a total production of 1 424 000 tones in India. It has become the most popular fruit crop in Gujarat, Maharashtra, and Karnataka, and so these are the major sapota producing states in India. It is also produced to some extent in Andhra Pradesh, West Bengal, Orissa and Tamil Nadu. The productivity is more or less the same in all the states, except that it is very high in Tamil Nadu and very low in Orissa.

Sapota is a hardy crop and can tolerate salinity to some extent (Sul-ladmath and Reddy, 2001). Chemical fertilizers are commonly used because they can ensure quick availability of the nutrients to the plants. However, continuous application of inorganic fertilizers deteriorates the soil's health (Warade et al., 1995). Therefore, chemical fertilizers must be integrated with sources of organic manure which are eco-friendly for sustaining productivity without deteriorating effects on soil health and the environment. The yield can be increased or sustained, but the quality is improved through the balanced application of organic and inorganic fertilizers. The information on the effect of nitrogen in sources such as urea and castor cake on the growth, yielding and fruit quality of sapota trees is scanty. The castor cake comes from the castor plant (*Ricinus communis*), and is the solid residue obtained when castor seeds are crushed during the expression of castor bean oil. The solvent-extracted cake, although rich in protein, cannot be used as cattle fodder because of its

toxicity. However, it can be used as a fertilizer. It is one of the most versatile natural manures. It is truly organic manure which enhances the fertility of the soil without causing any damage or decay. It is enriched with the three major elements vital and conducive to the proper growth of crops – nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. It also contains trace nutrients like manganese, zinc and copper, thus making it a balanced fertilizer. Moreover, it helps to neutralize the detrimental effects of chemical fertilizers.

Keeping the above facts in view, the present investigation was undertaken to find out the optimum dose and best combination of inorganic fertilizers and organic manure for obtaining higher growth, yield and quality of sapota 'Kalipatti'.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was carried out to examine the effect of organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilization, in the form of castor cake along with urea, on the growth, yielding and fruit quality of sapota trees 'Kalipatti'. The experiment was conducted at the Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (Gujarat) over three years (2006 to 2008). Fifteen-year-old plants were used for the experiment. There were 9 treatments and the details of the treatments are given in Table 1.

The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with 3 replicates per treatment (3 trees per replicate). The soil of the experimental

Table 1. Doses and forms of nitrogen supplied to sapota trees

Treatment	Treatment details
	Dose and form of nitrogen [per tree per year]
T <sub>1</sub>	1200 g nitrogen from urea
T <sub>2</sub>	1200 g nitrogen – 50% N from urea and 50% N from castor cake
T <sub>3</sub>	1200 g nitrogen – 25% N from urea and 75% N from castor cake
T <sub>4</sub>	900 g nitrogen from urea
T <sub>5</sub>	900 g nitrogen – 50% N from urea and 50% N from castor cake
T <sub>6</sub>	900 g nitrogen – 25% N from urea and 75% N from castor cake
T <sub>7</sub>	750 g nitrogen from urea
T <sub>8</sub>	750 g nitrogen – 50% N from urea and 50% N from castor cake
T <sub>9</sub>	750 g nitrogen – 25% N from urea and 75% N from castor cake

site was medium black with good drainage capacity, having EC – 0.15 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>, pH – 8.1, organic carbon – 0.72%, available P – 24.61 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and K – 175 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Chemical fertilizers, like P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O, were applied at 450 g per tree as the basal dose. Nitrogen in the form of urea and castor cake was applied in two equal splits, i.e. castor cake as the basal dose and urea in top dressing in June and October, respectively. At the time of application of fertilizers, a trench of 30 cm width and depth, 1 m away from trunk of the tree was prepared. All the fertilizers were applied in trench and covered with the soil.

The tree height and tree spread (N-S and E-W directions) were recorded as vegetative growth parameters. In the case of yield and yield attributes, fruit length and girth, fruit weight, the number of fruit per tree and fruit yield were recorded. The quality parameters such as the total and reducing sugars content were measured post-harvest in a labo-

ratory with titration method (Dubois et al., 1956; Hodge and Hofreiter, 1962). The total soluble solids content (TSS) was determined using a hand refractometer. Data were analyzed using analysis of variance as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Growth parameters

The data presented in Table 2 indicate that there were significant differences in plant growth parameters. The greatest tree height (7.26 m) and tree spread (8.11 m in N-S and 8.13 m in E-W directions) were recorded for the application of 900 g N/tree in the form of urea (T<sub>4</sub>), but that was found to be on a par with the 900 g N/tree treatment in which 50% of nitrogen was from urea and 50% from castor cake (T<sub>5</sub>). The lowest tree height was noted for the application of 900 g N/tree in which 75% N was from castor cake and 25% from urea (T<sub>6</sub>). The greatest tree

Table 2. Effects of manures and fertilizers on tree height and tree spread of sapota 'Kalipatti'

Treatment	Tree height [m]				Tree spread (E-W) [m]				Tree spread (N-S) [m]			
	2006	2007	2008	Pooled	2006	2007	2008	Pooled	2006	2007	2008	Pooled
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	6.42	6.86	6.63	6.64	6.96	7.37	7.10	7.14	6.25	6.23	6.73	6.40
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	6.20	6.52	6.77	6.49	6.23	7.40	7.01	6.88	5.93	7.27	7.30	6.83
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	6.13	6.55	6.57	6.42	6.77	5.63	6.93	6.44	6.37	6.70	6.87	6.64
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	7.16	7.27	7.35	7.26	8.50	8.02	7.87	8.13	7.92	8.22	8.20	8.11
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	6.20	6.65	6.60	6.48	8.23	7.97	7.66	7.95	7.85	7.74	7.57	7.72
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	5.64	5.68	5.70	5.68	6.60	6.73	6.85	6.73	6.03	7.03	7.80	6.96
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	6.62	6.80	6.87	6.76	7.62	6.53	6.92	7.02	7.43	6.77	6.60	6.93
<b>T<sub>8</sub></b>	5.97	6.32	6.42	6.24	6.60	7.00	6.52	6.71	6.51	6.90	7.10	6.84
<b>T<sub>9</sub></b>	6.10	6.23	6.53	6.29	6.45	7.17	6.47	6.69	6.00	6.87	7.57	6.81
<b>S. Em ±</b>	0.339	0.250	0.246	0.163	0.520	0.324	0.286	0.225	0.492	0.342	0.311	0.225
<b>C. D. (P=0.05)</b>	NS	0.75	0.74	0.46	NS	0.9726	0.86	0.64	1.47	1.02	0.93	0.64
<b>C. V. %</b>	9.37	6.63	6.45	7.54	12.68	7.92	7.03	9.56	12.71	8.35	7.38	9.6

**Treatment details:**T<sub>1</sub> – 1200 g N from ureaT<sub>2</sub> – 1200 g N (50% from castor cake + 50% from urea)T<sub>3</sub> – 1200 g N (75% from castor cake + 25% from urea)T<sub>4</sub> – 900 g N from ureaT<sub>5</sub> – 900 g N (50% from castor cake + 50% from urea)T<sub>6</sub> – 900 g N (75% from castor cake + 25% from urea)T<sub>7</sub> – 750 g N from ureaT<sub>8</sub> – 750 g N (50% from castor cake + 50% from urea)T<sub>9</sub> – 750 g N (75% from castor cake + 25% from urea)**Statistical abbreviations:**

S. Em ± : Standard error of mean

C. D. at 5% : Critical difference at 5%

C. V. % : Coefficient of variance

height and tree spread may be due to the easy and ready availability of nitrogen from urea. On the other hand, the poor result obtained in the combination in which 75% of nitrogen was from castor cake ( $T_6$ ) may be due to a low and slow availability of nitrogen to the plants.

### **Yield and yield attributes**

Significant variations in fruit weight, the number of fruit per tree and fruit yield due to different sources of nitrogen were observed (Tab. 3). The highest fruit weight (63.65 g), the largest number of fruit per tree (2627.56) and the highest fruit yield (163.30 kg) were recorded in the combination in which 900 g N/tree was applied in the form of urea ( $T_4$ ). However, that was found on a par with the application of 900 g N/tree in which 50% N was from urea and 50% N from castor cake ( $T_5$ ). The lowest fruit weight (42.97 g) and fruit yield per tree (109.18 kg) were obtained with the dose of 750 g N/tree in which 50% N was from castor cake and 50% from urea ( $T_8$ ). The smallest number of fruit per tree (2038) was obtained with 750 g N/tree (75% N from castor cake and 25% from urea –  $T_9$ ). The highest yield and the largest number of fruit per tree were recorded at 900 g N instead of 1200 g N because 900 g N might be the optimum dose for sapota trees compared to the higher dose of nitrogen which might have created an imbalance of nutrients. The higher yield might have been due to a ready availability of nitrogen from urea,

which increased tree growth and ultimately improved the yield.

Significantly higher fruit length and fruit girth (5.21 and 4.80 cm, respectively) were obtained with the application of 900 g N/tree in which 50% N was from urea and 50% N from castor cake ( $T_5$ ) (Tab. 4). The lowest fruit length was observed in the combination in which 750 g N/tree was applied in the form of urea ( $T_7$ ). The lowest fruit girth was obtained with the 750 g N/tree treatment in which 50% N was from urea and 50% from castor cake ( $T_8$ ).

The poor yield obtained in the combination with the lowest dose of nitrogen (with 50% of N from castor cake) was most likely due to a lower and slower availability of nitrogen. Similarly, Singh et al. (2000) observed that the number of fruit per tree increased with the application of higher levels of nitrogen. The result was also in conformity with the finding of Bhuvra et al. (1990), Boora and Singh (2000), Durrani et al. (1982ab), Boora et al. (2002), and Singh et al. (2003).

### **Quality parameters**

The highest reducing sugars and total sugars content (5.02 and 7.10%, respectively) were obtained in the treatment with 900 g N/tree in which 25% N was from urea and 75% N from castor cake ( $T_6$ ) (Tab. 5). The highest total soluble solids content (TSS – 18.59 °Brix) was obtained in treatment  $T_5$ , but that was on a par with treatments  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_6$ . The qualitative parameters such as the reducing and total sugar content and TSS were improved with the

Table 3. Effects of manures and fertilizers on fruit weight, number of fruit and yield of sapota 'Kalipatti'

Treatment	Fruit weight [g]				Number of fruits per tree				Yield [kg tree <sup>-1</sup> ]			
	2006	2007	2008	Pooled	2006	2007	2008	Pooled	2006	2007	2008	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	59.91	55.81	61.41	59.05	2055.33	1938.33	2178.67	2057.44	108.60	110.12	140.45	119.72
T <sub>2</sub>	48.44	48.31	50.29	49.01	2219.33	2666.33	2475.00	2453.56	128.18	130.92	134.64	131.24
T <sub>3</sub>	47.31	48.36	47.02	47.56	2043.33	2182.00	2464.00	2229.78	96.62	117.93	122.20	112.25
T <sub>4</sub>	64.33	62.72	63.90	63.65	2261.00	2747.33	2874.33	2627.56	145.87	160.10	183.94	163.30
T <sub>5</sub>	59.10	61.75	64.44	61.76	2238.67	2446.33	2691.00	2458.67	133.17	143.78	176.40	151.12
T <sub>6</sub>	49.50	49.59	57.24	52.11	2152.00	2562.33	2442.00	2385.44	106.56	127.37	139.46	124.46
T <sub>7</sub>	54.17	53.74	51.97	53.30	2009.67	2373.67	2240.33	2207.89	108.87	128.50	127.63	121.67
T <sub>8</sub>	44.36	41.35	43.20	42.97	2003.33	2224.67	2349.67	2192.56	88.87	118.95	119.71	109.18
T <sub>9</sub>	52.15	54.79	49.70	52.21	1953.67	2143.00	2017.33	2038.00	101.89	123.33	120.40	115.21
S. Em ±	3.273	2.950	3.643	1.906	66.17	122.80	94.85	56.226	8.266	7.780	7.482	4.532
C. D. (P=0.05)	9.81	8.84	10.92	5.42	198.37	368.16	284.36	160.03	24.78	23.33	22.43	12.90
C. V. %	10.65	9.65	11.61	10.68	5.45	8.99	6.80	7.35	12.65	10.45	9.22	10.66

**Treatment details:**T<sub>1</sub> – 1200 g N from ureaT<sub>2</sub> – 1200 g N (50% from castor cake + 50% from urea)T<sub>3</sub> – 1200 g N (75% from castor cake + 25% from urea)T<sub>4</sub> – 900 g N from ureaT<sub>5</sub> – 900 g N (50% from castor cake + 50% from urea)T<sub>6</sub> – 900 g N (75% from castor cake + 25% from urea)T<sub>7</sub> – 750 g N from ureaT<sub>8</sub> – 750 g N (50% from castor cake + 50% from urea)T<sub>9</sub> – 750 g N (75% from castor cake + 25% from urea)**Statistical abbreviations:**

S. Em ± : Standard error of mean

C. D. at 5% : Critical difference at 5%

C. V. % : Coefficient of variance

Table 4. Effects of manures and fertilizers on fruit length and fruit girth of sapota 'Kalipatti'

Treatment	Fruit length [cm]				Fruit girth [cm]			
	2006	2007	2008	Pooled	2006	2007	2008	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	4.85	4.90	4.80	4.85	4.44	4.80	4.67	4.64
T <sub>2</sub>	4.65	4.57	4.89	4.71	4.53	4.47	4.70	4.57
T <sub>3</sub>	4.95	4.69	4.96	4.87	4.62	4.23	4.58	4.48
T <sub>4</sub>	4.67	5.40	5.16	5.07	4.78	4.66	4.83	4.75
T <sub>5</sub>	5.21	5.11	5.30	5.21	4.81	4.64	4.94	4.80
T <sub>6</sub>	4.70	5.14	5.01	4.95	4.74	4.79	4.66	4.73
T <sub>7</sub>	4.54	4.44	4.63	4.54	4.36	4.68	4.25	4.43
T <sub>8</sub>	4.69	4.69	4.64	4.67	4.31	4.25	4.30	4.29
T <sub>9</sub>	4.88	4.94	5.05	4.96	4.38	4.60	4.49	4.49
S. Em ±	0.129	0.190	0.128	0.088	0.117	0.110	0.140	0.071
C. D. (P=0.05)	NS	0.57	0.38	0.25	0.35	0.33	0.42	0.20
C. V. %	4.65	6.75	4.48	5.39	4.47	4.17	5.27	4.66

**Treatment details:**T<sub>1</sub> – 1200 g N from ureaT<sub>2</sub> – 1200 g N (50% from castor cake + 50% from urea)T<sub>3</sub> – 1200 g N (75% from castor cake + 25% from urea)T<sub>4</sub> – 900 g N from ureaT<sub>5</sub> – 900 g N (50% from castor cake + 50% from urea)T<sub>6</sub> – 900 g N (75% from castor cake + 25% from urea)T<sub>7</sub> – 750 g N from ureaT<sub>8</sub> – 750 g N (50% from castor cake + 50% from urea)T<sub>9</sub> – 750 g N (75% from castor cake + 25% from urea)**Statistical abbreviations:**

S. Em ±: standard error of mean

C. D. at 5%: critical difference at 5%

C. V. %: coefficient of variance

Table 5. Effects of manures and fertilizers on reducing sugars, total sugars and total soluble solids content (TSS) of sapota 'Kalipatti'

Sr. No.	Treatment	Reducing sugars [%]				Total sugars [%]				TSS [°Brix]			
		2006	2007	2008	Pooled	2006	2007	2008	Pooled	2006	2007	2008	Pooled
1	T <sub>1</sub>	4.34	4.38	4.40	4.37	6.55	6.66	6.52	6.57	18.03	18.05	17.75	17.94
2	T <sub>2</sub>	4.32	4.28	4.33	4.31	6.59	6.71	6.73	6.68	18.25	18.18	18.24	18.22
3	T <sub>3</sub>	4.65	4.44	4.55	4.55	6.69	7.07	6.76	6.84	18.44	18.21	18.63	18.43
4	T <sub>4</sub>	4.59	4.60	4.62	4.60	6.67	6.71	6.68	6.69	18.21	18.52	18.70	18.48
5	T <sub>5</sub>	4.94	4.76	5.09	4.93	6.99	6.87	7.33	7.06	18.93	17.98	18.86	18.59
6	T <sub>6</sub>	5.07	5.00	4.99	5.02	7.10	7.09	7.11	7.10	18.55	18.22	18.42	18.40
7	T <sub>7</sub>	4.36	4.33	4.36	4.35	6.29	6.46	6.69	6.48	17.47	17.45	17.60	17.51
8	T <sub>8</sub>	4.27	4.49	4.37	4.37	6.37	6.62	6.39	6.46	17.24	17.63	17.64	17.50
9	T <sub>9</sub>	4.27	4.44	4.40	4.37	6.27	6.56	6.43	6.42	17.14	19.00	17.14	17.76
S. Em +		0.117	0.132	0.099	0.067	0.157	0.105	0.168	0.084	0.411	0.283	0.360	0.205
C. D. at 5%		0.35	0.40	0.30	0.19	0.47	0.31	0.50	0.24	NS	0.85	1.08	0.58
C. V. %		4.45	5.04	3.76	4.44	4.10	2.69	4.32	3.77	3.95	2.70	3.45	3.40

**Treatment details:**T<sub>1</sub> – 1200 g N from ureaT<sub>2</sub> – 1200 g N (50% from castor cake + 50% from urea)T<sub>3</sub> – 1200 g N (75% from castor cake + 25% from urea)T<sub>4</sub> – 900 g N from ureaT<sub>5</sub> – 900 g N (50% from castor cake + 50% from urea)T<sub>6</sub> – 900 g N (75% from castor cake + 25% from urea)T<sub>7</sub> – 750 g N from ureaT<sub>8</sub> – 750 g N (50% from castor cake + 50% from urea)T<sub>9</sub> – 750 g N (75% from castor cake + 25% from urea)**Statistical abbreviations:**

S. Em ± : Standard error of mean

C. D. at 5% : Critical difference at 5%

C. V. % : Coefficient of variance



application of organic manure (castor cake) in combination with inorganic fertilizers. This might have been due to a gradual and steady release of nutrients during the growth period. The castor cake as an organic source of nitrogen improved the soil's physical condition, microbial activities in the soil and supplied some micronutrients. This could be attributed to a higher C/N ratio which led to increased synthesis of carbohydrates and increased plant metabolism. Similar results were also obtained by Durrani et al. (1982b), Bhuvu et al. (1990), Singh et al. (2000), Boora and Singh (2000), Boora et al. (2002) and Singh et al. (2003).

### CONCLUSION

From the preceding discussion, it is concluded that the application of 900 g N per tree per year in the form of urea or 900 g N per tree per year where 50% N is in the form of urea and 50% N in the form of castor cake should be applied to fruit bearing sapota trees for optimal tree growth, high yield and good quality fruit.

### REFERENCES

- Bhuvu H.P., Katrodia J.S., Patel R.G. 1990. Effect of different levels of moisture regime and nutrient on growth, yield and economics of sapota (*Achras sapota* L.[*Manilkara zapota*]) cv. Kalipatti. HARYANA J. HORTIC. SCI. 19(1-2): 71-78.
- Boora R.S., Singh D. 2000. Effect of NPK on growth, yield and quality of sapota (*Manilkara achras* (Mill.) Fosberg) cv. Cricket Ball. HARYANA J. HORTIC. SCI. 29(3/4): 188-189.
- Boora R.S., Singh D., Siddiqui S., Verma S.L. 2002. Response of sapota to NPK fertilization. HARYANA J. HORTIC. SCI. 31(1/2): 15-17.
- Dubois M., Gilles K., Hamilton J. 1956. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. ANAL. CHEM. 28: 350-356.
- Durrani S.M., Patil V.K., Kadam B.A., Khedkar D.M. 1982a. Effect of N, P and K on the physico-chemical characteristics of sapota (*Achras zapota* Linn.). J. FOOD SCI. TECHNOL. 19(4): 164-165.
- Durrani S.M., Patil V.K., Kadam B.A. 1982b. Effect of N, P and K on growth, yield, fruit quality and leaf composition of sapota. INDIAN J. AGRIL. SCI. 52 (4): 231-234.
- Hodge J.E., Hofreiter B.T. 1962. Determination of reducing sugars and carbohydrates. In: Whistler R.L., Wolfrom M.L., (eds.), Methods in carbohydrate chemistry, Vol. 1 Academic Press, London, pp. 380-394.
- Panse V.G., Sukhatme P.V. 1985. Statistical methods for Agricultural workers, Publication and Information Division, ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 157-167.
- Singh R., Singh D., Ram T. 2000. Studies on the effect of NPK on growth, yield and quality of sapota (*Manilkara achras* (Mill.) Fosberg) cv. Cricket ball. ANNALS BIOLOGY 16 (1): 79-82.
- Singh D., Ahlawat V.P., Verma S.L., Singh B. 2003. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization in 'Cricket Ball' sapota (*Manilkara achras*). INDIAN J. AGRIL. SCI. 73(8): 419-421.
- Sulladmath U.V., Reddy M.A. 2001. Fruit: Tropical and Sub Tropical, Vol. 2, pp. 329-360.
- Warade S.D., Desale S.B., Shinde K.G. 1995. Effect of organic and inorganic and biofertilizer on yield of onion bulb. J. MAHARASHTRA AGRI. UNI. 20: 467-468.

# WPLYW AZOTU ORGANICZNEGO I NIEORGANICZNEGO NA WZROST, PLONOWANIE I JAKOŚĆ OWOCÓW SĄCZYŃCA ODMIANY KALIPATTI

Varu Devashi

## S T R E S Z C Z E N I E

Celem doświadczenia było zbadanie wpływu azotu organicznego i nieorganicznego w postaci mocznika i wyłoków rycynowych na wzrost, plonowanie i jakość owoców sączyńca. Azot w formie mocznika istotnie wpłynął na wzrost wegetatywny drzew. Największą wysokość drzew (7,26 m), rozpiętość drzew (8,11 m w kierunku N-S i 8,13 m w kierunku E-W), masę owoców (63,65 g), liczbę owoców na drzewo (2627,56) i plon owoców na drzewo (163.30 kg) otrzymano przy nawożeniu dawką 900 g N/drzewo w postaci mocznika. Podobne wyniki uzyskano przy nawożeniu taką samą dawką azotu, w której 50% N pochodziło z mocznika a 50% N z wyłoków rycynowych. Najwyższą zawartość cukrów ogółem i cukrów redukujących w owocach stwierdzono po zastosowaniu dawki 900 g N/drzewo, w której 25% N pochodziło z mocznika a 75% z wyłoków rycynowych.

**Słowa kluczowe:** nawożenie, mocznik, azot, wyłoki rycynowe