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A B S T R A C T

A study was conducted in an organic nursery, in 2010 and 2011, on the growth of scab-resistant maiden apple trees of the cultivars Topaz and Ariwa grafted on M.26 rootstock. The young trees were grown at a spacing of 25 cm × 1.0 m on a podzolic soil. On the basis of the differences in the thickness and height of the maidens, and the number of lateral shoots and their length, as well as the number of branched trees, the effects of various fertilizers were studied depending on the number of treatments applied to the plants, which were treated either once or twice with such products as granulated manure, Micosat, Humus UP, Humus Active + Aktywit PM, BF Amin, BF Quality, Tytanit and Vinassa. The control plants were not fertilized at all, or fertilized with NPK. The fertilizers were applied the first time to the soil and plants in the nursery in mid-May and the second time in early June. The results showed that using them in a double treatment did not result in improved plant growth in every case. Two applications of humic preparations, the so-called vermiculites (Humus UP, Humus Active + Aktywit PM with the addition of beneficial bacteria), BF Quality, and to some extent also BF Amin gave better results in terms of the quality of maiden trees than a single application of these products, whereas in the case of preparations such as Tytanit and Vinassa, treating plants with them for the second time did not result in a significant increase in the intensity of plant growth in relation to the plants treated only once during the early period of growth.
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INTRODUCTION

Fertilization of plants should be effective and economical (Wang et al., 2009) – effective enough to make the plants grow and develop vigorously, and economical so as not to introduce to the soil products which plants are not able to use, and which will only poison the soil and groundwater (Ekologiczne.., 2005). This is what usually happens with nitrogen (Prasad and Power, 1991; Tyburski and Żukowska-Biemans, 2007). Determination of fertilization needs for different plant species and of specific doses for use in their nutrition will bring benefits both to the plants and the manufacturer of such products. When using organic products (plant extracts and products resulting from the activities of the Californian earthworm, the so-called vermiculites), it is not always possible to determine the dose precisely (Wertheim and Easterbrook’s, 1994; Kuwada et al., 2006; Sas Paszt et al., 2011). Neither is it known whether they should be applied to the soil or the leaves only once at the beginning of the growing season, nor whether this treatment should be repeated later to increase their effect (Grzyb et al., 2012a). Detailed information about influence of different fertilizers an the growth and quality of apple fruit tree maidens are presented in separate paper submitted to print in Acta Horticulturae (Grzyb et al., 2012b). The aim of this study is to provide, as far as possible, a precise answer to a question thus posed and to show the interested parties what benefits can be obtained in that respect.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted in 2010-2011 in an experimental nursery located in Mokra Lewa near Skierniewice, on a podzolic soil. The experiment was set up in a randomised block design with four replications, each consisting of 10 plants. The treatments were applied in the first year (2010) of running the nursery, on M.26 apple rootstocks and in the second year, after grafting, on maiden trees of two apple cultivars (Topaz and Ariwa).

The following treatments were applied:
1 Control.
2 Chemical NPK fertilization: at a dose of 17.64 g·m⁻² NH₄NO₃, 6.52 g·m⁻² triple super phosphate, and 16.0 g·m⁻² K₂SO₄, equivalent to 60 kg·ha⁻¹ N, 30 kg·ha⁻¹ P, and 80 kg·ha⁻¹ K.
3 Fertigo (Ferm-O-Feed, the Netherlands) – granulated bovine manure containing 55% C, 1% N, 0.3% P and 1% K; besides these, also microelements and soil micro-organisms. The product was applied at a dose of 150 g·m⁻² (1500 kg·ha⁻¹), equivalent to 45 kg·ha⁻¹ N, 13 kg·ha⁻¹ P and 17 kg·ha⁻¹ K.
4 Micosat (CCS Aosta Srl, Italy) – microbial inoculum consisting of mycorrhizal fungi (Glomus mosseae and G. intraradices), and plant growth promoting bacteria (Pseudomonas fluorescence and Bacillus subtilis strains). The product contains 40% C, 0.15% N, 431 mg·kg⁻¹ P and 9558 mg·kg⁻¹ K; the
granular formulation Micosat F12 WP was applied at planting to the soil at a dose of 10 g·m⁻² (100 kg·ha⁻¹), and a second application was carried out in mid-June in liquid form (Micosat FMS 200) at a dose of 1 g·m⁻² (10 kg·ha⁻¹).

5 Humus UP (Ekodarpol, Poland) – an extract from vermicomposts containing 0.65% C, 0.03% N, 30.8 mg·kg⁻¹ P and 4535 mg·kg⁻¹ K. The product was applied to the soil as a 2% solution (2 ml·m⁻²) (20 l·ha⁻¹).

6 Humus Active + Aktywit PM (Ekodarpol, Poland) – an extract from vermicomposts based on a product derived from molasses. Humus Active is a soil improver with active humus and population of beneficial microorganisms containing 0.78% C, 0.03% N, 1050 mg·kg⁻¹ P and 4119 mg·kg⁻¹ K. Aktywit PM is a soil improver containing 20.5% C, 0.92% N, 81.2 mg·kg⁻¹ P and 42990 mg·kg⁻¹ K. (Humus Active was applied to the soil as a 2% solution (2 ml·m⁻²) (20 l·ha⁻¹) and Aktywit PM was applied to the soil as a 1% solution – 1 ml·m⁻² (10 l·ha⁻¹)).

7 BioFeed Amin (Agrobio Products B.V., the Netherlands) – an extract reinforced with amino acids – an extract of vegetal amino acids containing 1.12% C, 0.14% N, 347 mg·kg⁻¹ P. The product was applied to the soil as a 0.5% solution (0.5 ml·m⁻²) (5 l·ha⁻¹).

8 BioFeed Quality (Agrobio Products B.V., the Netherlands) – an extract from several seaweed species reinforced with humic and fulvic acids containing 0.6% C, 0.07% N, 32.6 mg·kg⁻¹ P. (applied to the soil as a 0.5% solution (0.5 ml·m⁻²) (5 l·ha⁻¹).

9 Tytanit (Intermag, Poland) – titanium (Ti) applied to the leaves as a 0.05% solution (0.05 ml·m⁻²) (0.5 l·ha⁻¹).

10 Vinassa (Józefów Sp. z o.o., Poland) – molasses residue from yeast production containing 12.0% C, 1.86% N, 949 mg·kg⁻¹ P, 17615 mg·kg⁻¹ K. The product was applied to the soil as a 0.5% solution (0.5 ml·m⁻²) (5 l·ha⁻¹).

The plants treated with Micosat, BF Quality, BF Amin, Tytanit and Vinassa were planted in the soil which had been fertilized with half the dose of granulated bovine manure (75 g·m⁻²).

All the fertilizers except NPK and bovine manure (Fertigo) were applied – one year earlier on the root-stocks, then in maidens nursery twice – in middle on May, and middle of June. After the application of the preparations the soil around the plants was each time thoroughly mixed by hand using hoes.

In the autumn, before digging up the trees from the nursery, measurements of their trunk diameter at a height of 30 cm above ground and of their height were taken; the number of branched trees (expressed as percent of number trees with lateral shoots in each combination) and the length and number of lateral shoots were recorded. Only the lateral shoots longer than 5 cm were included in the measurements.
Data for the plants with different fertilizers were analyzed statistically using two-factor analysis of variance. Comparison of single and double application for each combination was performed with suitably defined contrasts at the significance level of $p = 0.05$.

RESULTS

The effects of single and double applications of the biopreparations on the growth and development of maiden apple trees of the cultivars Topaz (A) and Ariwa (B) are shown in Table 1.

Maiden trees of the apple ‘Topaz’ (Tab. 1A) treated once and twice with the various bioproducts had a similar diameter of the trunk. The influence of the number of treatments on the height of the maidens was not significant. An exception were the maidens treated with BF Quality, where its repeated use was more effective than a single application.

All the maidens of this apple cultivar, regardless of the number of treatments, had a similar capacity for branching. However, applying the preparations Humus UP and BF Amin twice had a greater effect on the number of lateral shoots than a single application of these products.

The total length of annual shoots for single and double treatments of the plants with the biopreparations was similar. An exception were the combinations where Micosat, Humus Active + Aktywit PM, and BF Quality were used. When applied to the same plants for the second time, these preparations increased the total length of lateral shoots by nearly fifty percent, which was not observed in the other treatment combinations in the nursery.

Maidens of the apple ‘Ariwa’ (Tab. 1B), regardless of whether they had been treated once or twice with the biopreparations, had a similar trunk diameter. An exception was the combination with Tytanit, where using it once was more effective than using it twice.

The fact that the preparations were applied once or twice had no major effect on the height of ‘Ariwa’ maiden apple trees. An exception were the trees treated with Micosat. After this preparation had been applied twice, the trees were found to be about fifty percent taller than after a single application of this product.

The number of treatments had no significant effect on the process of branching in this cultivar’s maiden trees.

The increase in the number of lateral shoots was significantly stimulated with such preparations as BF Quality and BF Amin. This was more evident following a single rather than double treatment.

When applied twice, such products as Humus UP and BF Quality significantly increased the growth in length of lateral shoots, whereas in the case of Humus Active + Aktywit PM and Tytanit the single application was more effective than the double treatment.
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Table 1. Parametric features of maiden apple trees ‘Topaz’ (A) and ‘Ariwa’ treated in an organic nursery (1) – once, and (2) – twice with different fertilizers (Mokra Lewa, 2011)

A – ‘Topaz’ cv.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Trunk diameter [mm]</th>
<th>Tree height [cm]</th>
<th>Number of branched trees (x) [%]</th>
<th>Number of lateral shoots #</th>
<th>Total length of lateral shoots# [cm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 1 2 1 2</td>
<td>1 2 1 2 1 2</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (y)</td>
<td>12.4 12.5</td>
<td>111 107</td>
<td>43.2 51.3</td>
<td>1.1 1.5</td>
<td>14.0 15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPK</td>
<td>14.2 14.3</td>
<td>126 124</td>
<td>60.0 67.1</td>
<td>1.7 2.1</td>
<td>27.9 24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertigo manure</td>
<td>14.1 14.1</td>
<td>114 117</td>
<td>72.5 78.8</td>
<td>1.6 2.3</td>
<td>22.4 23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micosat</td>
<td>14.3 13.8</td>
<td>122 120</td>
<td>67.5 72.9</td>
<td>2.1 2.5</td>
<td>25.1 48.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humus UP</td>
<td>13.2 13.1</td>
<td>117 119</td>
<td>60.4 81.7</td>
<td>1.7 2.7*</td>
<td>34.1 25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humus Active + Aktywit PM</td>
<td>14.3 13.9</td>
<td>123 124</td>
<td>78.7 77.1</td>
<td>2.6 2.4</td>
<td>35.9 47.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF Quality</td>
<td>14.2 14.8</td>
<td>122 131*</td>
<td>75.0 88.8</td>
<td>2.3 3.0</td>
<td>24.7 57.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF Amin</td>
<td>13.6 14.0</td>
<td>122 123</td>
<td>76.7 81.7</td>
<td>1.6 2.7*</td>
<td>35.5 40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tytanit</td>
<td>13.4 14.3</td>
<td>124 124</td>
<td>72.5 74.6</td>
<td>1.4 2.1</td>
<td>24.4 30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinassa</td>
<td>14.0 14.5</td>
<td>127 126</td>
<td>77.5 75.8</td>
<td>1.8 2.6</td>
<td>30.5 34.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B – ‘Ariwa’ cv.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Trunk diameter [mm]</th>
<th>Tree height [cm]</th>
<th>Number of branched trees (x) [%]</th>
<th>Number of lateral shoots #</th>
<th>Total length of lateral shoots# [cm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 1 2 1 2</td>
<td>1 2 1 2 1 2</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (y)</td>
<td>13.5 12.4</td>
<td>148 146</td>
<td>69.6 70.8</td>
<td>3.8 3.9</td>
<td>124.6 128.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPK</td>
<td>14.1 14.0</td>
<td>160 159</td>
<td>82.5 75.0</td>
<td>4.5 4.2</td>
<td>159.0 153.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertigo manure</td>
<td>13.0 13.8</td>
<td>157 155</td>
<td>89.6 80.0</td>
<td>4.7 4.8</td>
<td>178.4 170.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micosat</td>
<td>12.8 13.6</td>
<td>152 168*</td>
<td>87.5 85.0</td>
<td>3.9 3.9</td>
<td>152.2 161.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humus UP</td>
<td>13.4 12.9</td>
<td>161 156</td>
<td>83.9 89.2</td>
<td>3.3 3.8</td>
<td>122.9 146.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humus Active + Aktywit PM</td>
<td>13.7 13.7</td>
<td>158 158</td>
<td>91.7 76.3</td>
<td>4.5 4.0</td>
<td>139.5* 80.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF Quality</td>
<td>13.0 13.8</td>
<td>159 160</td>
<td>97.5 97.5</td>
<td>4.9* 3.5</td>
<td>124.2 175.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF Amin</td>
<td>13.6 14.5</td>
<td>163 159</td>
<td>95.0 97.5</td>
<td>4.3* 3.3</td>
<td>156.0 169.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tytanit</td>
<td>13.6* 11.9</td>
<td>155 147</td>
<td>95.0 79.6</td>
<td>3.8 2.9</td>
<td>118.7* 91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinassa</td>
<td>12.6 13.2</td>
<td>154 157</td>
<td>95.0 89.6</td>
<td>4.3 4.4</td>
<td>130.3 186.6*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: # – shoots longer than 5 cm 
* – significant differences between single (1) and double (2) treatments 
(y) – no fertilization 
(x) – expressed as percent of number trees with lateral shoots in each combination
DISCUSSION

The most effective in their action on plant growth are nitrogen compounds (Wójcik, 2005; 2009). They stimulate mainly the apical growth of plants, not always giving them the opportunity to develop lateral shoots. An example of this can be the treatments employed in nurseries whose production is based on conventional methods, where, in order to increase the capacity of maiden trees for branching, use is made of compounds that inhibit the rapid growth of the leader (Basak, 2009). Effective inhibition of apical bud growth initiates the formation of lateral branches (Wertheim and Estabbrooks, 1994). To improve the quality of trees produced in organic nurseries, attempts are made to use various bioproducts (Klamkowski et al., 1999; Nardi et al., 2002; Kuwada et al., 2006; Khan et al., 2009). As yet, their influence on the development of young trees in the nursery is not understood well enough. Many data indicate that when they are used at the right time and in the right doses, they can significantly improve the quality of the nursery stock produced, which is not inferior in this respect to the quality of trees produced in nurseries run by conventional methods (Grzyb et al., 2012a). Among the bioproducts that are already known and recommended for production in organic nurseries, and new products available for testing, selection should be made of those that meet our expectations (Skupień and Oszmianski, 2007; Basak, 2008; Sas Paszt et al., 2011).

What needs to be optimized are the doses and times of their application. The important question is not only when but also in what quantity and how many times they should be used to obtain the desired results. Our findings show that a repetition of the same treatment does not necessarily lead in every case to an increased biological effect of a given product. Tytanit is a good example of this. On the other hand, such products as Micosat, BF Quality, BF Amin, Vinassa and Humus Active in conjunction with beneficial microorganisms (Aktywit PM) used more than once give a significant improvement in the quality of the material being produced, either in the form of taller and well-branched trees or a greater yield (number of trees) from one hectare (Kuwada et al., 2006). If, at the same time, a given product improves the ability of buds (scions) to ‘take’, or reduces their susceptibility to freezing, then the effect of this product will be fully satisfactory. Accurate determination of the number of treatments necessary to obtain the desired effects, which has been noted by other authors (Wertheim and Estabbrooks, 1994), will not only reduce unnecessary labour costs but also save money on the purchase of organic fertilizers, whose repeated use to improve the quality of the plants under production is not effective enough or even unnecessary.

CONCLUSIONS

1. A single application of the preparation Tytanit in the organic
nursery seems to be a sufficient treatment for improving the quality of maiden apple trees.

2. The biological activity of such preparations as Micosat, BF Amin, BF Quality and Vinassa used in the organic nursery of apple trees increases with the number of treatments performed. In terms of the quality of maiden trees, using these preparations twice is more effective than a single application.

3. Cultivars of apple trees in the nursery respond differently to the same number of treatments with given biopreparations. In some of them, sufficiently good results are obtained with a single treatment, while to achieve a similar effect in others, the same treatment must be performed twice.

4. Regardless of the number of treatments, vermiculites (humic preparations) seem to be less effective in stimulating the growth of maiden apple trees than the extracts from marine plants (BF Quality) and terrestrial plants (BF Amin), or the preparation Vinassa.

5. Mineral fertilization, the way it is commonly used in conventional nurseries, results in apple maidens whose quality is, if not poorer, at least comparable with those that are fertilized with organic products. The advantage of the latter is that not only do they improve the process of branching in maiden trees, but also stimulate the growth intensity of the lateral shoots growing out of them.
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